

Proposal Title :	Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 2014 (Amendment No 1) – To rezone Lot 1 DP 1097685, on the corner of Vardys Road and Sunnyholt Road, Kings Langley.			
Proposal Summary :	rezone Lot 1 DP 1097685, on th from the current 2(a) Residenti Medium Density and Residenti	proposal proposes to amend draft Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 2014 to DP 1097685, on the corner of Vardys Road and Sunnyholt Road, Kings Langley rent 2(a) Residential zoning under Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 1988 to R3 sity and Residential R4 High Density Residential under draft Blacktown Local al Plan 2014 (Amendment No 1).		
PP Number :	PP_2014_BLACK_003_00	Dop File No :	14/09739	
Proposal Details		۸. I		
Date Planning Proposal Received :	11-Jun-2014	LGA covered :	Blacktown	
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Blacktown City Council	
State Electorate :	BLACKTOWN	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : Co	orner of Vardys Road and Sunnyho	blt Road		
Suburb : Kin	ngs Langley City :	Blacktown	Postcode: 2147	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 1 DP 1097685			
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Amar Saini			
Contact Number :	0298601130			
Contact Email :	amar.saini@planning.nsw.gov.a	u		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Sue Galt	8		
Contact Number :	0298396216			
Contact Email :	Sue.Galt@blacktown.nsw.gov.a	u		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details	50 - S		
Contact Name :	ChoCho Myint			
Contact Number :	0298601167			
Contact Email :	chocho.myint@planning.nsw.gc	ov.au		
Land Release Dat	a			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

MDP Number :		Date of Release		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	120	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? ;	No			
If Yes, comment :	In relation to the Lobbyist Code of Conduct there are no records on the Department's Lobbyist Contact Register regarding this matter.			
upporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	Political donations disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requires the public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.			
		ents under the new legislation are tions and relevant public submiss		
	The term relevant planning application means:			
	- A formal request to the an environmental plannin	Minister, a council or the Director- ig instrument"	General to initiate the making of	
	•	009 specifies that a person who ma ral is required to disclose all repo	-	
	The Department has not i	received any disclosure statement	s for this Planning Proposal.	
External Supporting Notes :				
equacy Assessmen	t			
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	BACKGROUND, PROP	OSAL & OBJECTIVES		
	Road and Vardys Road site is 18,181.05 squar watercourses that run	ated on the north-eastern corner o d, Kings Langley (location map in e metres. The site is generally flat through the site. The site is gener e site being covered in grassland.	Documents). The total area of the with the exception of two ally clear of significant vegetation	

BACKGROUND

The subject land is currently zoned 2(a) Residential 'A' under Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 1988 (current zoning map in Documents). The 2(a) zone allows a range of housing types with consent, including dwelling houses, townhouses and villas, integrated housing (minimum of 5 dwellings on smaller individual allotments) and dual occupancies.

As part of the preparation of draft Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 2014 (BLEP), Blacktown Council considered a proposal from the landowner, Tilrox Pty Ltd (the proponent), to rezone the site to R4 High Density Residential. The proposed R4 zone under the draft BLEP allows residential flat buildings, but prohibits dual occupancies, semi-detached housing and multi dwelling housing. The R4 zone would have enabled the proponent to develop the site for the purpose of residential flat buildings on the site, ranging in height from two storeys along the Evan Place frontage to six storey along the Vardys Road frontage.

Council did not support the proponent's request to rezone the site to R4 zone for the following reasons:

• The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with the Blacktown's strategic planning framework which aims to focus medium and high density residential housing types in the Urban Renewal Precinct of Blacktown City Centre, Mount Druitt Major Centre, and the Seven Hills and Rooty Hill Town Centres; and

• The site is considered to be subject to a variety of constraints including limited road access and drainage issues associated with the creek that runs through the site.

As a compromise, the exhibited draft BLEP proposed a dual zoning, with the R2 Low Density Residential zone applying to the northern half of the site (fronting Evan Place) and R3 Medium Density Residential zone applying to the southern half of the site (fronting Vardys Road). Council's intent of the dual zoning was to allow a range of detached dwellings and townhouses to 'reflect local development in the area whilst still allowing some development potential of the site to help offset costs associated with the site constraints'.

Council's proposal actually reduces the development potential of the site to townhouse development over only half the site, while the current 2(a) zoning allows townhouse type development over the entire site.

JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL

In April 2013, the proponent requested a pre-Gateway review for this rezoning proposal.

The Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel (Sydney West JRPP) reviewed the planning proposal and determined that there is sufficient strategic merit for the planning proposal to be submitted to the Department for Gateway determination. It considered that a more satisfactory integration would be achieved by providing:

• "Two (2) storey town houses typology on that section of the site generally on the northern side of the existing watercourse, interfacing with Evan Place.

• Three (3) storey residential typology to Vardys Road and the southern element of Sunnyholt Road frontages, rising to four (4) storeys where appropriately recessed, on that section of the site generally south of the existing watercourse."

This recommendation was endorsed by the Minister's delegate in September 2013 and asked Council if it wish to accept the role as the Relevant Planning Authority (RPA) and prepare a planning proposal considering the Sydney West JRPP recommendations and

submit it for a Gateway determination.

Council after considering the Sydney West JRPP recommendations has submitted this planning proposal under section 55 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to the Department.

OBJECTIVES OF THE PLANNING PROPOSAL

The objective of this planning proposal is to rezone the subject site to allow higher density development than is currently allowed on the site under Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 1988 or proposed under the draft Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 2014 (not yet made).

The proponent has advised that the specific details of the proposed development, including its physical appearance and how site constraints are to be addressed will be provided at the development application stage.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Council has provided the following explanation for the proposed amendment:

To achieve the proposed objective, the planning proposal seeks to amend the draft Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 2014 as below:

 Land Zoning Map - zone the northern portion of the subject site to R3 Medium Density Residential zone and the southern portion of the site to R4 High Density Residential zone.
Height of Building Map – the section of site to the north and interfacing with Evan Place will have a maximum height of 9 metres. This coincides with the R3 zoning and proposed townhouse typology development. The section of the site to the south and fronting Vardys Road will have maximum height of 14 metres. This coincides with the R4 zoning and proposed residential flat building development.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

4.3 Flood Prone Land 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : **SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS**

3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES:

This direction applies as the planning proposal affects an existing residential zoned land. Since, the proposal does not reduce the density, improves the choice, accessibility and distribution of housing stock in the area, the proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the direction.

3.4 INTEGRATED LAND USE AND TRANSPORT:

The direction applies to the planning proposal.

Under this Direction, a planning proposal must include provisions that give effect to and are consistent with the aims, objectives and principle of:

(a) Improving Transport Choice – Guidelines for planning and development (DUAP 2001) and

(b) The right Place for Business and Services – Planning Policy (DUAP 2001).

Central to these two documents is the objective of improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and the reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distance travelled, especially by car. Given the site is well situated along two major roads, and would provide housing in walking distance to T-way bus stop on Sunnyholt Road, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the direction.

4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND

This direction applies as two watercourses run through the site. Council has advised that as part of the previous proposal to subdivide the site, the proponent commissioned SGC Consultants to determine the flood levels across the site and design a possible realignment of the creek to contain the 100-year ARI storm event.

SGC Consultants consulted with Blacktown City Council and the then Department of Water and Energy to obtain the principles for redirection and reconfiguration of the watercourse. Blacktown Council required that the design of the new creek alignment and shape to contain the 100-year ARI storm event. The concept plans for the flood study indicated that a hydrological simulation based on the model developed by Council that the site can contain the 100 year ARI storm event.

As part of this planning proposal, it is proposed to redesign the characteristics of the watercourse so that it contains the 100-year ARI storm event. It is recommended that Council formally consult NSW Office of Water (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water) prior to addressing this direction before public exhibition.

7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036

The planning proposal is not inconsistent with the Metropolitan Strategy, Draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney to 2031 and the draft North West Subregional Strategy.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Council has provided the following maps for the subject site (copies in Documents):

- Site identification map
- Current zoning maps
- Proposed zoning map
- Current height of building map
- Proposed height of building map
- Current flood risk map

It is considered that the maps are adequate for consultation purposes.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council has advised that the community consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Gateway determination. Given the nature of the planning proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 14 days.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : July 2014

Comments inThe Blacktown Principal LEP has been exhibited and submitted to the Department for
relation to Principalrelation to Principalmaking of the plan. The LEP is expected to be made in the last quarter of 2014.LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning As stated before under the statement of objectives of this report, the main purpose of this proposal : planning proposal is to implement Sydney West JRPP recommendation that the site be developed for more intense development than proposed by Council. The Sydney West JRPP considered that a more satisfactory integration would be achieved by development of the site under controls providing zoning and height controls which permits development providing: Two (2) storey town house typology on that section of the site generally on the northern side of the existing watercourse, interfacing with Evan Place. Three (3) storey residential typology to Vardys Road and the southern element of Sunnyholt Road frontages, rising to four (4) storeys where appropriately recessed, on that section of the site generally south of the existing watercourse. Consistency with The planning proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with both draft Metropolitan strategic planning Strategy for Sydney 2031 and the draft North West Sub-regional Strategy. framework : Environmental social economic impacts : **ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS** Council has advised that there are no critical habitat, threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats identified on the site. The planning proposal will deliver a number of positive social and economic benefits including: a mix of housing well located on a public transport route, near existing shops and amenities; greater housing choice; improved street scape; and reduction in car distance travelled as a significant number of future tenants are expected to make use of the buses on Sunnyholt Road, T-way. The residential flat building will have vehicular access to Vardys Road only and the townhouse development will be restricted to Evan Place. This will ensure that any additional traffic movements generated from the development of the site, will not detrimentally impact on the local street network. Flooding issue has already been discussed under s117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land that watercourses within the site will be redesigned so as to contain the 100-year ARI storm

event, and the proposed consultation requirements.

In light of the above, it is considered that there are no likely environmental, social and economic impacts arising from the planning proposal.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Transport for NSW - R Other	oads and	Maritime Services	
Is Public Hearing by the I	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasons	id.			
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	n required			
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastructure	e relevant	to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :				

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Council letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Attachment 1- Council Report.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 2- DP&E Letter to Council.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 3-Council letter to DP&E.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 4-DP&E letter to Council to review to JRPP.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 5-Council letter to SW JRPP.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 6-JRPP Recommendations.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 7-DP&E Letter to Council to prepare PP.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 8-Council report considering JRPP recommendations.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 9-Council letter to Minister.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 10-Council advice to DP&E on the revised PP .pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 11-GM meeting disclosure from.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Attachment 12-Advice from DP&E to Council regarding revised PP .pdf	Determination Document	Yes

	of Vardys Road and Sunnyho			
Attachment 13-Council I		Determination Document	Yes	
Attachment 14-Revised Planning Proposal.pdf Attachment 15- Site Identification Map.pdf		Proposal	Yes	
		Мар	Yes	
Attachment 16-Current L	0 11	Мар	Yes	
Attachment 17-Proposed		Мар	Yes Yes Yes Yes	
	leight of Building Map.pdf	Мар		
	d Height of Building Map .pdf	Мар Мар		
Attachment 20-Current F	lood Risk Map.pdf			
Aerial Photograph.pdf		Photograph Yes		
anning Team Recomn	nendation			
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage :	Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones			
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra	ansport		
	4.3 Flood Prone Land			
	7.1 Implementation of the Metro	politan Plan for Sydney 2036		
Additional Information :	Recommendation:			
	It is recommended that the plan conditions:	ning proposal proceed subject to the fo	llowing	
	1. The planning proposal is ex	hibited for 14 days.		
		h the following public authorities under with the requirements of relevant S117 I		
	Transport for NSW – Road and Maritime Services			
		vided with a copy of the planning propo d given at least 21 days to comment on		
	with NSW Office of Water (Depart	irection 4.3 Flood Prone Land, consulta rtment of Environment, Climate Change ation of the creek line on the site can co exhibition.	and Water) to	
	4. The timeframe for completing the week following the date of the the week following the date of the	ng the Local Environmental Plan is to be ne Gateway determination.	e 6 months from	
	DELEGATION TO COUNCIL			
	Council has not requested to us	e delegation to proceed with the planni	ng proposal.	
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported as:			
	shops and services.	ted within walking distance to a public t		
	There are demonstrated ber	nefits resulting from the planning propo	sal.	
Signature:	2 Longert			
Printed Name:	etto etto munit	Date: 7/8/14		